



Minutes

Edinburgh Integration Joint Board Professional Advisory Group

10.00am Tuesday 8 January 2019

Diamond Jubilee Room, City Chambers, Edinburgh

Present:

Colin Beck (Chair), Eddie Balfour, Robin Balfour, Carl Bickler, Chris Brannan, Andrew Coull, Helen Faulding-Bird, Alasdair FitzGerald, Helen FitzGerald, Belinda Hacking, Kirsten Hey, Sylvia Latona, Sandra McNaughton and Linda Nicol Smith.

Apologies:

Sheena Borthwick, Kate Pestell, Kath Anderson and Moyra Burns.

1. Note of the meeting of the Integration Joint Board Professional Advisory Group meeting of 20 November 2018 and Matters Arising

Decision

- 1) To note Alison Meiklejohn's apologies.
- 2) To otherwise approve the minute of the meeting of the Edinburgh Integration Joint Board Professional Advisory Group of 20 November 2018 as a correct record.

2. Note of the meeting of the Edinburgh Integration Joint Board of 14 December 2018 and Matters Arising

Decision

To note the minute of the meeting of the Edinburgh Integration Joint Board of 14 December 2018.

3. Sub-Group Updates

An update was provided on the Strategic Planning Group's discussions on the Commissioning Plans and Reference Groups. The Commissioning Plans would be out for consultation prior to publication. The Joint Board had asked that the plans include financial information and performance indicators before consultation. The final Strategic Plan would be submitted to the February 2019 meeting of the Joint Board.

Decision

To note the update.

4. Governance Review

Carl Bickler provided details of the Governance Review that had been commissioned by the Chief Officer. The review, carried out by the Good Governance Institute, included recommendations on the structure of the Board, leadership and improvements to the overall governance. The report included a specific recommendation in relation to the sub-group structure, and questioned the sub-groups' role, functions and outputs. In terms of the PAG, the papers suggested that the Chair should be a voting member of the IJB. It proposed a radical restructuring and suggested the PAG would be closely aligned to the Futures Group. Members of the Professional Advisory Group provided the following comments:

- The role of the PAG was important but the function was being lost. The group could be more effective.
- The PAG lacked a clear opportunity to contribute before matters were considered by the IJB. There was frustration about papers only being presented to the PAG after decisions had been made the IJB.
- The PAG was not being asked for strong recommendations by the IJB. The group was not being heard. Senior IJB officers should attend the meeting.
- IJB reports should take account of whether PAG input was required and there could be dedicated slot on the IJB agenda for PAG input.
- It was important that a broad range of professions were represented – there should be frontline staff, professional representatives from all disciplines and people who represent hospitals/locality teams.
- There was some anxiety about professional governance – there was confusion about the capacity in which certain people attend the PAG (representing

service/role/professional line). It was clarified that members should represent their profession, rather than their respective services.

- The PAG should have appropriate representation on other groups.
- Clarity should be provided on the difference between professional and clinical advice.

Decision

- 1) To note the update.
- 2) To agree that the Co-Chairs would write to the Chief Officer summarising the feedback provided by the Professional Advisory Group.

5. Recommendations from the Health and Social Care Grants Review Programme 2019

Details were provided of the Joint Board's decision in December 2018 regarding the Health and Social Care Grants Review Programme 2019. There was a three-month notice period for those organisations which had been unsuccessful. Members raised the following points:

- The Grants Review Programme was not a complete picture of all investment – it only included money designated under grants.
- Some organisations had reported that the form was clunky and difficult to use.
- The total amount applied for was £31 million – only £14 million was available.
- Localities should be asked which services they need most.

Decision

To agree that the Co-Chairs would represent the views of the Professional Advisory Group to the Joint Board.

6. 2018-19 Financial Position

A report on the IJB's 2018-19 Financial Position was submitted for information. It had been considered by the Joint Board at its December 2018 meeting and provided an overview of the financial position for the period to October 2018 and the year-end forecast. It also presented the conclusion of the financial recovery plan.

Decision

To note the update.

7. Next Meetings

- Thursday 7 March 2019 (Mandela Room, City Chambers)
- Tuesday 28 May 2019 (Diamond Jubilee Room, City Chambers)
- Tuesday 23 July 2019 (Mandela Room, City Chambers)